# Particle Learning Approach to Bayesian Model Selection: An Application from Neurology.

Simon Taylor, Gareth Ridall, Chris Sherlock, and Paul Fearnhead

**Abstract** An improved method is sought to accurately quantify the number of motor units that operate a working muscle. Measurements of a muscle's contractive potential are obtained by administering a sequence of electrical stimuli, but non-deterministic firing patterns of the motor units impede estimation. We consider a state-space model that assumes a *fixed* number of motor units to describe the hidden processes within the body. Particle learning is applied to estimate the marginal likelihood for a range of models that assumes a different number of motor units. Simulation studies of systems containing up to 8 motor units are very promising.

#### 1 Introduction

We are interested in accurately quantifying the number of Motor Units (MUs) that supply a working muscle. A MU consists of a single motor neuron and the muscle fibres it governs. An electrical study of a muscle provides insight into the neuromuscular processes by measuring the Compound Muscle Action Potential (CMAP) for a range of stimuli. The ability to partition each CMAP into the contributions from

Simon Taylor

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom., e-mail: s.taylor2@lancaster.ac.uk

Gareth Ridall

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom., e-mail: g.ridall@lancaster.ac.uk

Chris Sherlock

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom., e-mail: c.sherlock@lancaster.ac.uk,

Paul Fearnhead

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom., e-mail: p.fearnhead@lancaster.ac.uk

each MU, a Single Motor Unit Potential (SMUP), is central to Motor Unit Number Estimation (MUNE). However, this is complicated by the occurrence of 'alternation' [1], where different MU combinations activate under identical conditions.

### 2 The Neuromuscular Model

We propose an adaptation to the state-space neuromuscular model [3] that describes the relationship between the applied stimulus,  $s_t$  for  $t=1,\ldots,T$ , and the corresponding CMAP,  $y_t$ , through the hidden biological processes. The state variable is defined to be the firing index vector,  $\mathbf{k}_t = (k_{1,t},\ldots,k_{j,t},\ldots,k_{u,t})'$ , where each element describes a single MU's reaction to the stimulus and the vector length, u, denotes the assumed *known* quantity of MUs within the system. The individual firing events are assumed to be independent Bernoulli random variables with probability that depends on the administered stimulus via a non-decreasing link function,  $F(\cdot;\cdot)$ , with parameters specific to the MU,  $\phi_i$ :

$$k_{j,t}|s_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}_j \sim \text{Bernoulli}\left(F\left(s_t; \boldsymbol{\phi}_j\right)\right)$$
 (1)

Each firing MU generates a SMUP that is assumed to be Gaussian with a unique mean,  $\mu_j$ , but a common variance,  $\sigma^2$ . Denoting the mean vector of SMUPs as  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_j, \dots, \mu_u)'$ , the recorded CMAP is the sum of the generated SMUPs plus a Gaussian baseline measure that has its own mean,  $\mu_b$ , and variance,  $\sigma_b^2$ . By using calibration data to approximate  $\sigma_b^2$ , we assume that  $\sigma_b^2 \ll \sigma^2$  and introduce an indicator function,  $I_{\{\cdot,\cdot\}}$ , in defining the observation process:

$$y_t | \mathbf{k}_t, \mu_b, \sigma_b^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu_b + \mathbf{k}_t' \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma_b^2 I_{\{\mathbf{k}_t = \mathbf{0}\}} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{1}' \mathbf{k}_t\right)$$
 (2)

## 3 Methodology

MUNE using the neuromuscular model is assessed by Bayesian model selection; requiring reliable marginal likelihood estimates for a range of proposed model of varying dimension. Consider the marginal predictive factorisation, where each term expresses the probability for a CMAP given the currently available data:

$$\Pr(y_{1:T}|s_{1:T},u) = \Pr(y_1|s_1,u) \prod_{t=2}^{T} \Pr(y_t|y_{1:t-1},s_{1:t},u) . \tag{3}$$

Estimates of these terms are obtainable from independent applications of the particle learning methodology [2] to each considered model. This procedure is an extension of the auxiliary particle filter that constructs the particle set with the Es-

sential State Vector (ESV), containing the sufficient information necessary for the two stage sequential procedure:

- 1. Resample the particles with weights proportional to the marginal predictive of  $y_t$  with all unknown parameters and state variables marginalised.
- 2. Propagate the particles either deterministically or by generating appropriate random samples.

The marginal predictive terms are thereby estimated by Monte Carlo integration over the ESV within the procedure before the propagation stages.

#### 4 Discussion

Our procedure has been applied to simulated data from 160 hypothetical neuromuscular systems that contain up to 8 MUs. The results presented in 1 illustrate that our procedure is very promising for small neuromuscular systems as the true number of motor units are correctly identified by the model posterior mode correctly. Although the correct solution was identified in the majority of cases for larger systems, the modal estimate was within one MU of the truth. The increase in the average interval width for larger systems illustrates that such systems are harder to analyse because there is a greater chance of incurring a period of alternation that involves multiple motor units; require more information to decipher the underling structure.

Table 1 Simulation study summaries from 160 hypothetical neuromuscular systems.

		Number of Motor Units (u)							
Summary	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
$\hat{u} = u^a$ 95% Coverage <sup>b</sup>	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	95% 95%	85% 90%	
Mean Interval Width <sup>c</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.20	0.25	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Proportion of cases whereby the posterior mode estimate is the true value.

Our aim is to adapt this procedure to analyse larger neuromuscular systems. However, the event space for  $\mathbf{k}_t$  increases exponentially as larger models are considered. Consequently, this substantially increases the computational complexity due to of the need to marginalise all unknowns, parameters and states, within the algorithmic procedure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Proportion of cases whereby the 'at least' 95% higher posterior density interval contain the true value

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Mean width of the 'at least' 95% higher posterior density interval.

## References

- Brown, W., Milner-Brown, H.: Some electrical properties of motor units and their effects on the methods of estimating motor unit numbers. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 39, 249–257 (1976)
- 2. Carvalho, C., Johannes, M., Lopes, H., Polson, N.: Particle learning and smoothing. Statist. Sci. 25, 88–106 (2010)
- 3. Ridall, P., Pettitt, A., Henderson, R., McCombe, P.: Motor unit number estimation—a Bayesian approach. Biometrics. **62**, 1235–1250 (2006)